

## ASSASSINATION AND THE STRANGE FRIENDS OF JOHN G. SCHMITZ

When asked by A.B.C. reporter Virginia Sherwood on “Issues and Answers,” October 1, 1972, “Representative Schmitz, you sought and accepted the presidential nomination of the American Party. Now, what makes you think you are qualified to be President of the United States?”, John G. Schmitz replied: “Well, I certainly think I am more qualified than Richard Nixon or George McGovern in view of the fact of their basic policies. Let’s face it: the American people have a choice between Richard Nixon who’s endorsed by Peking or Moscow; or, George McGovern who’s endorsed by Hanoi or the Manson family.” When the relevance of his statement was challenged by A.B.C. reporter Herb Kaplow, Schmitz retorted, “You know, my mother used to tell me, you can tell a person by their friends”

“Well, who are you endorsed by?”, Miss Sherwood inquired.

“I am endorsed,” declared Schmitz, “by that great middle-ground of dispossessed majority types who are a little tired of carrying the whole load...”

As we shall see---and as results on forthcoming election day will show---this was no answer, but a joke. Who then is John G. Schmitz endorsed by? Who are his notable supporters? Who indeed are the friends behind him and his party, the friends most helpful in his run for the White House? They will not be seen or heard campaigning for him on national TV or radio. Their names are obscure or unknown to the general public. The leading news media have failed to disclose their identities. But a browse through any local “Schmitz-for-President” headquarters will reveal who is behind him, who his principal backers and associates are. There the tables and racks are loaded with pro-Schmitz literature, for the most part propaganda bearing the imprint of one or more of three sources:

Educator Publications (1110 South Pomona Avenue, Fullerton, California)

Liberty Lobby (100 Independence Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C.)

The John Birch Society (395 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts).

EDUCATOR PUBLICATIONS is located in Orange County, California, home baliwick of its Congressman, John G. Schmitz. The publisher is James H. Townsend and the organization's chief organ (billing itself, "America's fastest growing newspaper") is a monthly tabloid, The National Educator, with one, John Steinbacher as Managing Editor. Since its debut in June, 1969, this newspaper has consistently starred John Schmitz as its political hero. Since his nomination by the American Party, he has dominated its front pages, lauded by publisher-Editor Townsend and others in feature articles (See, for example, the September and October, 1972 issues). Now its readers are urged to "buy and distribute" a 4-page tabloid boosting the candidacies of Schmitz and Anderson in quantities of "250 or more" (National Educator, Oct., 1972, p. 3). They are told to put into "Every House in Your Town Today" a copy "of the Only Professionally written Booklet on John Schmitz and the American Party." (Ib., Oct., p. 14). This booklet is advertised with the claim, "John Schmitz has given this booklet his total endorsement" (See the Schmitz-Anderson "Special Issue," National Educator, p. 4). Its author, Steinbacher reports, "John Schmitz thanked us for the booklet and said he thought it was 'great, couldn't be better... it is a fine political tool, the best we have.'" (National Educator, Oct., 1972, p. 9).

John Steinbacher, we are told, "has known John Schmitz intimately for the past seven years..." (Ibid., p. 10). While Administrative Assistant to Schmitz, then a State Senator in the California Legislature in Sacramento, Warren G. Carroll, now Representative Schmitz's Legislative Assistant in Washington, together with Steinbacher and a third partner operated an enterprise, called FACT RECORDS, which the three founded to produce and distribute political phonorecords. (See story in Santa Ana Register). So we may be sure that candidate Schmitz has

known John Steinbacher and his published works “intimately” since at least 1965.

The American Party nominee for Vice President of the United States is Tom Anderson. Under title, “Newsman Steinbacher and Editor Anderson Meet,” Townsend’s newspaper draws back the curtain a bit to give us a glimpse of the pre-nomination Anderson-Steinbacher ties. The report from Orlanda, Florida, February 1971, reveals the two met “for a strategy conference.” Anderson “drove up from his home in Palm Beach to spend the day and evening with Steinbacher... Anderson had agreed to serialize his (Steinbacher’s) book, Bitter Harvest, in his 15 magazines.” Anderson, it is said, “had invited Steinbacher to spend some time at his Palm Beach home,” but the latter’s schedule made impossible any acceptance of this invitation.

Candidate Anderson’s passion for this book, Bitter Harvest, must be kept in mind when we arrive at a discussion of this and other works of his “strategy” partner.

In his booklet, John Schmitz and the American Party, author Steinbacher discloses that “Jim Townsend, publisher of the National Educator has known and worked closely with Schmitz throughout the congressman’s years in political life.” (Steinbacher, John Schmitz and the American Party, p. 13). And he reveals something of the viewpoint of this coterie when he writes, “...any rational man knows that The John Birch Society represents the thinking of most people not only in Orange County but throughout the country...” (Ib., p. 10) In addition to being available at American Party campaign centers; this booklet is advertised and sold by Liberty Lobby... (“Buy and Distribute Quantities” (Liberty Letter, Sept., 1972, p. 4).

LIBERTY LOBBY, having begun its operations on June 5, 1957 “in San Francisco, California, under the guidance of W.A. Carto, who is still Treasurer of the Institution,” describes itself as “a national, volunteer, non-partisan political-action institution for men and women dedicated to the preservation of the Constitution and the Republic.” Professedly, “It confines its

activities, as its Charter stipulates, to one thing alone: to shape the official acts of Congress in a responsible manner. To this end, the Institution tries not to be deflected by peripheral activities of any sort... normally it refrains from electioneering of all sorts.” (THE HOW, copyrighted by Liberty Lobby, 1969; pp. 8-9; 12; 15-16). But, as we shall discern, like those guiding Educator Publications, behind this patriotic-sounding, semantic facade, its leaders are engaged in strange, little-publicized activities, not the least of which is the propagation of sinister scares and political schemes.

Among Liberty Lobby’s numerous fund-raising “fronts” is one operating under the name, “United Congressional Appeal.” Originating in 1968 and organized “to unite a wide range of rightist organizations behind a single drive to raise money for favored congressional candidates...,” this organization is still active. It was found that, “At least half of the members of UCA’s ‘Board of Awards’ were also members of Liberty Lobby’s Board of policy, including Liberty Lobby Chairman, Col. Curtis B. Dall ...” (FACTS, published by the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith. July 1968; p. 456).

A form letter pleading for contributions was mailed out by United Congressional Appeal under date of “February 9, 1972.” Among the six therein designated as Members of the “Board of Awards” are Col. Dall, Mrs. LaVonne D. Furr, “Managing Editor, American Mercury,” and Tom Anderson, “President, Southern Farm Publications.”

Members of the “Board of Advisors,” also listed on this letterhead, include Michael Djordjevich, “Daly City, Calif.” and R.C. Hoiles, “Santa Ana, Calif.” Michael Djordjevich, described as “a refugee from Yugoslavia communism,” together with Rep. John Schmitz’s assistant Warren Carroll, are co-authors or co-compilers of “fourteen signposts on the road to totalitarianism” which “we can expect from the conspiracy during the next few years...” (see

None Dare Call it Conspiracy, pp. 131-2).

R.C. Hoiles, as owner-publisher of the Santa Ana Register and the Anaheim Bulletin, was perhaps the first among the few and earliest newspaper publishers to support Liberty Lobby. Before coming to The Educator (now National Educator), John Steinbacher served on the editorial staff of the latter Hoiles paper as “Police Reporter.” This newspaper has been commended in American Opinion, the John Birch Society monthly magazine, as one with “an old-fashioned Editor by the name of Sam Campbell who, along with reporter John Steinbacher, has not been afraid to challenge the educational power structure” (American Opinion, Mar., 1969, p. 11).

John Steinbacher himself is currently a Contributing Editor, as listed on the Contents page of the Liberty Lobby monthly, The American Mercury. This latter magazine has served as a sometime-vehicle for the writings of John G. Schmitz, as in the Fall, 1968 number, when his article “Academic Madness” shared a page with an advertisement by “The Noontide Press” for “Varange, Ulick (Francis Parker Yockey); Imperium, 1963... \$6.00” (American Mercury, Fall, 1968, p. 28). (More about this important book, later.) Tom Anderson’s Farm and Ranch Magazine is also one of its advertisers (see the January, 1964 number, full inside cover).

“The Policy of Liberty Lobby,” so its leadership claims, “has been set by its Board of Policy,” membership in which during 1966 “...was opened to all citizens, of 18 or more years, who would sign a Loyalty Oath and meet modest financial requirements...” (of a few dollars per year).

However, we are told, “Originally, the Board was composed of a group of highly-selected patriots, most of whom were of national stature.” The first-named of “Some persons who have served on the board”, during this period of select membership, is Tom Anderson.

The May 1972 number of Liberty Letter (“Published by Liberty Lobby”) reported a “capacity crowd of enthusiastic taxpayers and former taxpayers” who on April 15, 1972, in the Roger Young auditorium in Los Angeles, “roared their approval today of a galaxy of speakers” in a “meeting sponsored jointly by LIBERTY LOBBY’s National TaxAction Program and the Tax Rebellion Committee of Los Angeles County.” This meeting, it says, “was supported by a host of other organizations and publications, including The American Mercury, American United, The Educator... Omni Books... and Youth Action.” Among those who spoke, the report continues, were: “John Steinbacher, Rep. John Schmitz,... Peter C. Reynolds,... Ed Butler,... Jim Scott,... and Anthony J. Hilder” who chose for the title of his speech, “Unity and Victory Through LIBERTY LOBBY.” “Master of Ceremonies was Ron Wright, noted L.A.-area radio commentator”. An “I.R.S. spy” was in the audience, it is asserted, “who did not realize that he was observed” and who “used a miniature recording device to record the speakers.” The “results” for him “were probably very poor,” the report concludes, but “Liberty Lobby can remedy this for the I.R.S. spy, as well as anyone else for twenty Federal Reserve dollars---the price of cassettes or tapes which record the whole historic afternoon.” (Liberty Letter, May, 1972; pp. 1, 3)

From the cassettes, as advertised on the same page, we transcribe excerpts of this “historic” meeting. Ron Wright, after welcoming guests with the remark that, “The theme of this meeting is unity and victory through Liberty Lobby. Liberty Lobby is your host today,” introduced, with others, John Steinbacher. During his turn at the microphone, Steinbacher told his audience: “I was in Washington a couple of weeks ago talking to the Administrative Assistant to Congressman John Schmitz, who’s one or two of the decent men in Congress, I might add. And this individual told me, ‘You know, I’m convinced of one thing, these maniacs

in Washington are not really all totalitarians,' he said, 'they are mostly nihilists who want to destroy everything that's worth preserving in our society. They simply want to level all our institutions and,' he said, 'they're absolute and total destroyers for the sake of destroying.'"

A Mr. Robert A. Geier is listed as "Administrative Assistant" on the official stationery of "John G. Schmitz, 35<sup>th</sup> District, California," showing a letter addressed to Educator Editor, "Mr. Jim Townsend" under date "August 11, 1971," reproduced in the latter's newspaper (The Educator, Sept., 1971, p. 10). The message of the letter is a commendation of "John Steinbacher's The Child Seducers," a book in which, Schmitz says, "John Steinbacher has provided much... 'ammunition', rendering thereby a signal service in the fight against the moral corruption of the youth of America." (The same letterhead lists the "Legislative Assistant" to Congressman Schmitz as being one, "Warren H. Carroll, Ph.D.")

At the April 1971 "TaxAction Rally", Ron Wright introduced Rep. Schmitz himself as "a man who is going to tell you how to stop paying that withholding tax, and he really knows how to tell it, because he's been doing it!" During his talk, John G. Schmitz declared, among other things, that "all laws and treaties signed by the United States Government, signed by the United States so-called Senate, quotation marks, since 1919 are invalid." As a solution to judges who act in violation of their "oath of office," Schmitz recommended posse comitatus "an old English custom" where the judge is "arrested by the sheriff" and "tried" by "a 12-man jury" and "if found guilty of perjury or failing to keep his oath of office," is "hung at noontime---and, by the way, English law said the body must still be hanging there at sundown."

THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY. As for candidates Schmitz and Anderson, they have not only teamed with Willis Carto, they each have played frontman for another strange friend, Robert Welch. In the "Twelfth Report of the Senate Factfinding Subcommittee on Un-American

Activities,” published by the Senate of the State of California in 1963, it was found of The John Birch Society, whose Founder and head is Robert Welch, that:

“Robert Welch is the undisputed authority in this movement, and from his decisions there can be no appeal. Operating under him is an executive committee and a national council, but these are purely advisory bodies. If Welch makes a decision and both the executive committee and council unanimously, vehemently and implacably disagree, there is no question about who will prevail: Welch. Indeed, since he appoints the members of these bodies, he can fire them individually or collectively at will.” (California Senate Factfinding Subcommittee Report on Un-American Activities, 1963, p. 18). In fact, continues the Report, “He has repeatedly declared that any effective organization must have a strong central control; it must function with the precision that only comes from discipline; his society is frankly monolithic and authoritarian.” (Ibid., p. 21)

Moreover, the Report states, The John Birch Society’s “organizational structure is similar to that used by the Communist Party, and some tried techniques of the Communists have been borrowed by the society”---not a few, we might suggest! However, we are told, “there is a vast difference in the matter of disciplinary control.” The “vast difference” appears to be that whereas “Communists are trained to obey a directive or a party assignment whether they agree with it or not”---John Birch Society members can freely choose “not to follow any program or directive” with which they disagree, and simply resign their membership (Ib., p. 18). In cases of “protracted dissent,” they will “be asked to resign. And each person, upon being admitted to membership, must execute this agreement:

“...I agree that my membership may be revoked at any time by a duly appointed officer of the society, without the reason being stated, on refund of the pro-rata part of my dues

paid in advance.” (Ib., p. 32).

It is difficult to believe that any freedom-loving American anywhere in this free land would so abase himself before the arbitrary, unrestrained control of this wire-puller, Robert Welch. But among the members of The John Birch Society, and thus subject to Welch’s personal Power, are both the Presidential and Vice Presidential nominees of the American Party. While candidate Schmitz is listed as a Contributing Editor of the Welch Society’s weekly Review of the News, Thomas Anderson is Associate Editor of its monthly, American Opinion. An example of the associations forged by John G. Schmitz on a high Birchite level may be seen in the report by Robert Welch that “a tape recording of the program at our COUNCIL Dinner in Los Angeles on March 5 [1971]”---and put on three cassettes, by Gary Allen Communications... contains the introductions of, and... speeches by... Hon. John Schmitz, and COUNCIL member, Floyd Paxton... the introduction of Tom Anderson, his excellent performance as the major speaker, some final comments by your editor,” Welch, etc. (JBS Bulletin, June, 1971, pp. 13-4).

While we shall soon come up on Gary Allen, it might here be noted that Floyd Paxton, in his biographical listing in the official JBS Council roster, is identified as one who “publishes a fiery weekly newspaper, The Yakima Eagle.” (Responsible Leadership, no p. no.) Before joining the Anaheim Bulletin staff, John Steinbacher wrote a regular column for Paxton’s paper.

Thus it is to be expected that, like Educator Publications and Liberty Lobby, The John Birch Society too would contribute its weight to John Schmitz’s run for the Presidency in 1972. As if by some uncanny foresight, it was arranged that the lead article for the June, 1972, number of American Opinion, would be one on the life and career of John G. Schmitz, extolling his virtues as one running for public office openly and basically on Birchite principles. Apparently datelined to beat the California (June) election contest with its “Schmitz campaign for re-elec-

tion”---even though, as its author, Alan Siang, reported, “The word on the Hill, and in the district, is that Schmitz is on the purge list” (he was)---, the article appeared at about the same time in May that Governor George C. Wallace fell before his assailant’s gun in Maryland, and, fortuitously, in time for Schmitz-backers to circulate it in reprint form at their August 3<sup>rd</sup> Nashville convention of the American Party. Now, as a booklet, with another in similar format, supporting Tom Anderson, the American Opinion and its faithful readers, including those who man the book-tables of Schmitz-for-President centers across the nation, circulate it “for our man”.

THE AMERICAN PARTY AND THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY. One man in America whose hatred of Jews is frank, blatant and universally known is Gerald L.K. Smith, who rightly may be called the Dean of American Anti-Semites. Smith’s career as a hate-peddler is a long one, beginning in 1933, when he left “the pulpit of the fashionable King’s Highway Christian Church in Shreveport, Louisiana, to join the Silver Shirt, storm-troopers of William Dudley Pelley” (Apostles of Discord, by Ralph Lord Roy, The Beacon Press, p. 60). With the notorious race-baiters Father Charles E. Coughlin and the Rev. Gerald Winrod, Smith formed a triumvirate whose pre-World War II agitation could best be put in the cry, “hands off Hitler!” After his propaganda organ, “The Cross and the Flag, was listed by the Justice Department as a vehicle for alleged seditionists,” and American participation in the war against Nazism got under way, recounts Ralph Lord Roy in his biographical sketch of Smith, “Hitler’s Ghost in American Garb,” (Ib., p. 62), Smith “publicly gave 100-percent support to the war effort” though remaining a political “obstructionist through his America First Party, which ran him for President in 1944.” As a “Christian,” Gerald L.K. Smith, cannot see himself worshipping a Jew: “It is a matter of common knowledges,” quotes Roy, “that the physical Jesus was fair and blond and of

open countenance and bore no resemblance whatsoever to the modern hooked nose shop keeper, money changer, brothel owner and whiskey peddler.” (Ib., p. 72) His biographer writes that “Gerald L.K. Smith finds cooperation between Christians and Jews definitely forbidden by the Scriptures. ‘There is a verse in the Bible which says, “Be ye not yoked together with unbelievers,” but in spite of this admonition there is a degenerate tendency abroad among so-called ordained preachers to flatter and patronize the rabbi and the synagogue.” In like manner, Smith rails against all and sundry whom he imagines to be “Corrupted by Jewish money and flattered by Jewish-controlled newspapers...” (Ib., pp. 73-4)

This failure to dissemble has earned Gerald L.K. Smith something of public pariah status with his fellow Jew-haters in the Radical Right, whenever they beat their drums for public respect and attention. Mr Smith resents this, and rightly so. Of this double-standard among his friends, he has written: “Numerous right wing, patriotic organizations have been told that they will receive financial support if they will vow that they have no connection with Gerald L.K. Smith because they cannot stand the pressure and the persecution of the Jews.” He then goes on to blow the whistle on his fair-weather friends. First there is one whom we can only take to be Robert Welch himself. “In fact,” declares Smith, “the richest and best financed patriotic organization in America was started by a man who corresponded with me for years. He then started his organization and when he got going he found he had to repudiate me in order to keep up the financial support he needed from people who were afraid of the Jews” (The Cross and the Flag, Aug. 1970, p. 7).

Gerald L.K. Smith has called Liberty Lobby a "responsible, courageous and effective" patriotic organization, (Ib., Feb. 1971, p. 30); one whose Washington Observer “is a very responsible newsletter” (Ib., May, 1972, p. 24). And he has described Liberty Lobby Chairman

Curtis Dall as “one of the most responsible patriots in the nation” (Ib., Aug. 1970, p. 20). Quoting from the Liberty Lobby bulletin,” Smith recently observed: “I am sure the writer of the above was a bit preoccupied when he said, ‘Is Liberty Lobby the only organized group in America not afraid to brave the tyrannical and ruthless smear machine controlled from Israel?’ I can remember when the Christian Nationalist Crusade [Smith heads] spoke out on this subject and Liberty Lobby felt that it would be impractical to say things that might be called impatient anti-Semitic. Now I see that they are getting a little impatient with some who are as cautious as they used to be... This comment is not written in the spirit of bitterness. It is written in the spirit of cooperation just to refresh the memory of some of our good friends, including Mr. Curtis Dall, former son-in-law of Franklin D. Roosevelt, and President of Liberty Lobby. Mr. Dall has been my personal friend for years and frequently visits me when he comes to Los Angeles.” (Ib., Feb., 1971, p. 30)

Smith’s Christian Nationalist Crusade not only sells a Steinbacher book, The Child Seducers (Ib., April, 1972, p. 39), it frequently gives his work and that of The National Educator an official Smith editorial boost as the production of a “brilliant journalist” (Ib., May, 1971, p. 5; April, 1972, p. 17; July, 1972, p. 38). Editor Smith takes especial delight, no doubt, in quoting from Frank A. Capell (another writer for Robert Welch, whose weekly “Intelligence Report,” appears in the JBS Review of the News) when he can find Steinbacher “unmasking” a Jew: “John Steinbacher,” says Capell, “nationally known journalist, writing in the Anaheim Bulletin (Calif.) September 19, 1969, stated, ‘Ashley (SIECUS) Montagu... whose real name is Israel Ehrenburg, was plugging a new book he has written...’” (Ib., May 1971, p. 5).

In the September 1972 number of his magazine, Smith presented in black border and bold type a “WARNING!” It was this: “I do not believe in the integrity, the authority or the

documentation of a book which is called NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY, being recommended by certain so-called I right wing groups.

“The man in charge of its distribution is a Jew and when I ordered a few extra copies, the Jew wrote me and said he refused to take the money of the Christian Nationalist Crusade or to permit us, with is consent, to circulate the book.

“Something is ‘rotten’ and it is not in Denmark.

“I am not sure but what the book itself is the real conspiracy... “I can think of no piece of literature that will hasten the election of McGovern than this book. What could be more lunatic than to suggest that Communism originated with the American businessman?” (Ib., Sept., 1972, p. 18).

In the magazine’s next issue, Smith does not hesitate to give us some candid insight into the inner-workings of the new American Party. “I sent individuals personally loyal to me to attend the National Convention of the American Party...,” he writes. “When I received their reports I realized that something was missing and something tragic was taking place. An emissary of mine revealed that he was not going to campaign for this Party arid this ticket either directly or indirectly--for many reasons that need not be summarized here.

“I also received the shocking news that a Jew by the name of Larry Abraham was in command of the situation and was going in and out of the private offices of the executives as though they had no doors on their headquarters. Why did the name Abraham shock me when I learned that he had been chosen as the campaign manager for the ticket and had been the chief instrument in the writing of the Platform?”

It was “from Mr. Abraham” that he had received the letter of refusal “serving notice on us that they would not sell us the book, they would not take our money for the book and they

wanted nothing whatsoever to do with the Christian Nationalist Crusade. Can you imagine the shock I got when I learned that this man, who had put the purge on my followers and myself, was virtually running the bureaucratic mechanism of this so-called American Independent Party!

“I communicated at once with one of the three most important men in the Wallace Party. He assured me that he would make no conclusions until he visited the bedside of George Wallace. After visiting the bedside of George Wallace, he came back to me with the report that even George Wallace was not going to stick his neck out for this enigmatic set-up.”

Gerald L.K. Smith goes on to say he also “was shocked” when he “saw that Congressman Schmitz had written the introduction for this book...”; and, “In fact an inside informer tells me that the book was prepared under instructions from its sponsors not to permit one sentence to go into it that would reflect on the Jew.” (Ib., Oct.), 1972, p. 6).

One need not suppose that if Larry Abraham is indeed a Jew, that he therefore is an agent of the House of Rothschild nor even a “spy” for the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (two pet bugaboos of the Radical Right). Whether Smith knows it or not, Mr Larry Abraham, as a matter of factual record, is a paid agent of the John Birch Society, one of Robert Welch’s prize lackeys. In the June 1969 number of his Bulletin, Welch writes of the fine work reported in a communication of “five thousand words (!)” he had received “from our Major Coordinator, Larry Abraham of Seattle...” (JBS Bulletin, June, 1969, p. 22). Elsewhere, he tells of a meeting of the “teenagers” who are to be formed “into chapters” for the JBS, meeting under Abraham’s leadership, one gathering being held (he reports elsewhere) in the home of JBS Council member Floyd Paxton, John Steinbacher’s erstwhile employer.

NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY. During his appearance on the C.B.S. Sunday program, “Face the Nation,” Candidate Schmitz was pressed to explain to what he refers in his

occasional references to “the Conspiracy.” Protesting that he could not do this adequately “in the six minutes remaining,” Schmitz recommended to his interrogators and to the audience a book with the title, None Dare Call it Conspiracy. This book may be found in quantity in American Party centers across the country. In its “Introduction,” Schmitz himself promises, first line up: “The story you are about to read is true.” And, in closing, he warns that we can only “pooh-pooh the information herein at our own peril.” Do not, he cautions, “accept the opinions of those who of necessity must attempt to discredit the book. Your future may depend upon it.” (None Dare Call it Conspiracy, pp. 5, 6).

But can anything or anyone really depend upon this book? Its author says, “The one thing these conspirators cannot survive is exposure” (Ib., p. 129). Can this book?

Together with a small bow to Larry Abraham (“with Larry Abraham,” in small print beneath the author’s name on its cover) this book is the work of Garry Allen, the hottest writer in Robert Welch’s stable, and a Contributing Editor to American Opinion, perhaps its most-featured and most prolific writer. In 1967, Allen wrote the Foreward to John Steinbacher’s first openly political work, It Comes Up Murder, a book which, Allen said, “will shock you,” but one in which he claimed, “The facts are here.”

Robert Welch is delighted with Gary Allen’s latest paperback production, especially when Allen (pp. 135-36) declares that, “after considerable personal research the author has concluded that the organization which is the leader in this field, has had the most experience, and is doing the best job of exposing the conspiracy is The John Birch Society.” This is a typical achievement of Gary Allen-type “research,” but it moves Founder Welch to alert his members that Allen’s book “contains one [sic] page which will produce many inquiries. We shall do our best to route such ‘referrals’ promptly to the proper Section Leaders or Chapter Leaders” (JBS

Bulletin, April, 1972, p. 15).

You see, Robert Welch, besides having been “for seven years a member of the board of directors of the National Association of Manufacturers and... for two years Chairman of its Education Committee,” also was “for many years vice president in charges of sales and advertising”

for the James O. Welch Candy Co. operated by his family. (Responsible Leadership Through the John Birch Society). So, with the help of his boys, Gary doing the copywriting and Larry the sales, while John G. does the advertising and promotion on TV, the 1972 American Party campaign is expected to really “pack ‘em in” The John Birch Society sideshow. Welch predicts the book “will almost certainly reach a volume of fifteen million copies” (JBS Bulletin, March 1972, p. 11); author Allen is shooting for a “potential 30 million distribution” that “will create a base of opinion that will throw the Insiders out” (None Dare Call It Conspiracy, p. 135).

In pretending to unveil the “Great Conspiracy” that disturbs Candidate Schmitz---or with which he would disturb the American electorate---, Gary Allen in this 141-page book pictures a horrible menace. “Communism,” he says, “is not run from Moscow or Peking, but is an arm of a bigger conspiracy run from New York, London and Paris. The men at the apex of this movement are not Communists...” Instead, the author claims that “‘Communism’ is not a movement of the downtrodden masses but is a movement created, manipulated and used by power-seeking billionaires

in order to gain control over the world...” (Ib., p. 35). The goals and methods of these purported “conspirators” are said to derive from one “Adam Weishaupt, the founder of the Order of Illuminati in Bavaria” (Ib., p. 26). Elsewhere, we learn from the JBS that the aims of this “Order” were (are) “to destroy all religions, overthrow all governments, and abolish private property”

(Proofs of a Conspiracy, by John Robison, A.M., Originally Published in 1798, with a New Introduction by the Publishers Western Islands, 1967, Intro.) This hardly seems like a choice program for plutocrats and “international bankers” whose profits and livelihood depend upon trade between nations and a viable civilization. To adopt this kind of lunatic program---fit only for nihilists and anarchists---would, if successful, simply kill the goose which has laid for them golden eggs!

Nevertheless, declares Allen, this “billionaire” network of “conspirators” is industriously pursuing the work of their father Adam Weishaupt. The “network” is, Allen claims “the Federal Reserve-CFR-Rockefeller-Insider crowd” which he adds, operates “the Soviet Union” as “their satellite”! (None Dare Call It Conspiracy, p. 102). World War I was part of their game: “The conspiracy had been planning the war for over two decades.” (Ib., p. 62). While “So-called ‘Prussian militarism’ did exist,” says Allen, “it was no threat to conquer the world.” (Ib., p. 63). Only Europe and Russia and, after that, Asia, perhaps---and then? Obviously, Mr Allen believes that “Insiders” have written our history books, so he is writing a new one. But he is really not a humorist, nor is he trying to be funny. He is striving mightily to provoke 30 million “potential” readers into joining a revolution planned for them by Robert Welch’s Insiders. So, he finds it “goes without saying that” the hands of those he has targetted “are bloodier now with the gore of 50,000 Americans in Vietnam.” (Ib., p. 93). You can’t get less funny than that.

At this point we must interject the observation that if Gerald L.K. Smith’s spy-network set him right on what was to be left out of this book, it has been badly edited. When it comes time to open the curtain and unveil the alleged master-minds behind” the Great Conspiracy,” the author---like Robert Welch, so often---wants us to clearly understand he is not in the least anti-Semitic: “One major reason for the historical blackout on the role of the international bankers in

political history is that the Rothschilds were [are?] Jewish. Anti-Semites have played into the hands of the conspiracy by trying to portray the entire conspiracy as Jewish. Nothing could be farther from the truth. The traditionally Anglo-Saxon J. P. Morgan and Rockefeller international banking institutions have played a key role in the conspiracy. But there is no denying the importance of the Rothschilds and their satellites...

“The Jewish members the conspiracy have used an organization called the Anti-Defamation League as an instrument to try to convince everyone that any mention of the Rothschilds or their allies is an attack on all Jews. In this way they have stifled almost all honest scholarship on international bankers and made the subject taboo within universities.” (Ib., pp. 39-40).

This book says plainly that the scheme behind the “conspirators” game is to pit race against race, nation against nation, class against class---one pawn in one hand and one in the other---, simply because “the ultimate advantage the creditor has over the king or president is that if the ruler gets out of line the banker can finance his enemy or rival.” The “creditor,” you see, is “international banker” who jockeys two nations into conflict, or two factions into civil war, when both are in debt to him. “If the king doesn’t have an enemy, you must create one,” continues Allen. And, he adds, “Preeminent in playing this game was the famous House of Rothschild” (Ib., p. 38). With a vengeance, this is “no denying the importance of the Rothschilds and their satellites.”

But who are their “satellites”? If Gary Allen and his mentors wish to escape the charge of Jew-baiting they will have to do better than being careful not “to portray the entire conspiracy as Jewish.” Even if they were to affirm that all Jews---or even Jewish leaders---comprise only a small and insignificant part of the alleged conspiracy (limited claims which Welch and Co. does not make), no more in proportion than the wealth of the House of Rothschild is today, outranked

as it is by the greater assets of the “Anglo-Saxon J. P. Morgan and Rockefeller international banking institutions,” the charge would have to stick. Especially so, if the Jewish Rothschilds were to be pictured as the “preeminent” manipulators “at the apex” and the Morgans and Rockefellers portrayed as “their satellites.” And this exactly is what the propaganda of Gary Allen and Robert Welch leads its uninformed readers to believe!

Thus, we have in this book, only three pages after this devious disclaimer to escape from the just charge against him, the author’s plain statement: “Morgan is referred to by many, including Congressman Louis McFadden, (a banker who for ten years headed the House Banking and Currency Committee), as the top American agent of the Rothschilds.” (Ib., p. 44; italic added)

But why badger the reader with the authority of Congressman McFadden---except to pretend that Morgan’s acting as agent for the Rothschilds, was a dark, hardly-to-be-discovered secret of the Illuminati? The simple fact is that J. P. Morgan acted as agent for many, many bankers and, fellow-financiers and even competing international banking houses--but that does not mean that he was owned or controlled by them or by any one of them, nor that he did not guide his own business interests and actions as he alone saw fit.

In the Gary Allen-Robert Welch book, this still leaves the Rockefellers free of the Rothschild clutches. Or does it? According to Allen in his book, Richard Nixon: The Man Behind the Mask, (published by the Welch-guided “Western Islands” at Belmont, Mass.), Lucky Luciano at “thetop of the Cosa Nostra heap” was “Totally cunning and absolutely ruthless... altogether despicable...” And, he asks, “What is the difference between Cosa Nostra man Lucky Luciano and, say, Nelson Rockefeller? The only essential difference is that Rockefeller had wealth, background, and education.” (Richard Nixon: The Man Behind the Mask, p. 66) This is

character-assassination, full-blown!

But with all these wonderful attributes, Governor Rockefeller, according to the Allen Welch dogma, is no more his own man than is his “boy,” Richard Nixon, nor old J.P. Morgan. For, like the latter---and Lenin and Stalin too, if not all us in the world today---, to Allen’s readers the Rockefellers too (since founder John D. Rockefeller himself) are unmasked as nothing but pawns and satellites of Jewish bankers! The dead giveaway, set down for all to see, black on white in American Opinion for June 1912, by that magazine’s ace examiner-of-questioned-documents, this same author, is this: “When John D. lost his health, he gave his power of attorney to Jacob Schiff of the Rothschild-connected Kuhn, Loeb Company.” (American Opinion, June 1972, p. 61) Obviously this “clincher” arrived too late for inclusion in None Dare Call It Conspiracy---otherwise 30,000,000 Americans might have come to know that Jewish bankers are the chief target of Robert Welch and his “Insider”-bewitched satellites!

With this up his sleeve, our literary conjuror feels ready to pop another scarecrow out of his hat-- -: “It is obvious that the international monetary game is rigged tighter than a new tennis racquet with Rothschild-controlled central banks, bullion dealers, and mining interests in England, Germany, France, South Africa and the U.S. (Kuhn, Loeb and Company, whose partner, Lewis Strauss, was Nixon’s chief money raiser, is a Rothschild operation.)” (Richard Nixon, etc., p. 387.)

To “prove” his point that the all is “rigged,” Gary Allen takes us back to the American Civil War. “The North was financed by the Rothschilds through their American agent August Belmont, and the American South through the Erlangers, Rothschild relatives.” (American Opinion, March, 1970, p. 26.) For proof of this allegation, the author adds by footnote: “See Stephen Birmingham, Our Crowd, Dell, New York, 1967.” (You see, the Radical Right

“authorities” do their “homework” at the supermarket paperback book-stands!)

No page is given. Understandly so, because (a) the name “Erlangers” does not even appear in the 21-page-plus Index to Our Crowd---and (b) Birmingham makes clear that the Rothschild agent, Belmont, an American banker, was “placed in an awkward position” because “the Rothschilds had grave doubts about the North’s winning, and gave Belmont and the United States Treasury only luke-warm and hesitant support. Lincoln’s fund-raisers were forced to look for new sources of supply, and found them in the bond-selling efforts of such men as Joseph Seligman. As the war progressed, affection for Belmont in Washington declined and esteem for Seligman grew. By the war’s end, though he may not have actually ‘won the war,’ Joseph Seligman was very dear to Washington’s heart.” (Our Crowd, p. 101).

So, the Rothschilds, far from having “financed” the North as Allen falsely claims, “had given the Union... scant support during the Civil War.” (Ib., p. 164)

Having thus juggled past history to show us what the chief Jewish bankers “have done” in the past, our master-sleuth---who easily could qualify for the post of Director of the F.B.I. in any American Party administration---tells us what the Rothschild-basked conspiracy he sees will do in the future.

“In order to solidify their power in the United States they will need to do here the same thing they have done in other countries. They will establish and maintain their dictatorship through stark terror. The terror does not end with the complete takeover of the Republic. Rather, then terror just begins... for total, all encompassing terror is an absolute necessity to keep a dictatorship in power. And terror does not mean merely punishing the enemies of the New Order. Terror requires the murdering and imprisoning of people at random... even many of those who helped them come into power.” (None Dare Call It Conspiracy, p. 131.)

As if to prove the Rothschild-led combine he pictures has been responsible for such “total, all encompassing terror”---and on the most horrendous scale in all of European history, including the mass-murder of six-million Jews (here the Radical Rightists laugh at the figure)---, Radical Right history teacher Allen gives his “6,000,000” (“currently sold,” reports Welch) pupils something to think about. Not only have “the Insiders” (a better word than “Illuminati” and not so libel-prone as “Communist”!) financed “both Hitler and Mussolini after their takeover” (Allen in American Opinion, June 1972, p. 59), the Jewish bankers at the top, declares Allen, did a lot worse.

In his current “best-seller,” Gary Allen writes, “Actually nobody has a right to be more angry at the Rothschild clique than their fellow Jews. The Warburgs, part of the Rothschild empire, helped finance Adolf Hitler.” (None Dare Call It Conspiracy, p. 40).

Where did he find out this? What proff can he offer for so horrid and monstrous a fact if true? The book doesn’t say. Perhaps the author thought that among 30 million potential readers there might be some skeptical enough to check his source, if one were given. But in American Opinion magazine for April 1970, Allen, in an article entitled “Federal Reserve” (one pet peeve of this school of Radical Right “thought” is that private bankers “control” the money of the United States; and that a good “solution” is to pass over control to the politicians. God save us!), writes: “Incredible as it seems, Max Warburg was later involved in the financing of Adolf Hitler. (See Stephen Birmingham, Our Crowd, Pp. 428-430.)” (American Opinion, April 1970, footnote, p. 53.)

Here, upon one of the most critical and monumental claims made by the chief authority trundled upon the American stage by John G. Schmitz and his boss, Robert Welch, we again find something to check on. What do we find? Well, for one thing, his page reference (43) is in error.

There is no mention of Max Warburg or Adolf Hitler except that Warburg's son "enlisted in the U.S. Army early in the war" in endeavor to "avenge his father's treatment in Germany, and to even the Warburg score with the Nazis." But Gary Allen tells us nothing of this, of course. As a matter of fact, all this given source says about Max Warburg and the Nazi is that, "...it is quite clear that by 1930 Max Warburg was a seriously frightened man. His main concern became saving, if at all possible, the Warburg bank and properties in Germany. To do so, he used his old connections with the Kaiser and the imperial court to become a close friend of the prominent Nazi, Hjalmar Schacht, president of the Reichsbank, the German Federal Bank. Schacht often turned to Max for advice in financial matters and continued to do so for several years after Hitler came to power. Through Schacht, Max became convinced that the Warburg bank would never be seized and that he himself might be to Hitler what Albert Ballin had been to the Kaiser, the Court Jew. Alas, as the months marched relentlessly onward, this possibility seemed less than remote, particularly to Max's despairing brothers in New York. A law of April 1933, decreed that all Jews be dismissed from government service and the universities, and they were also barred from the professions. Yet a week later, Max Warburg was dining with his friend, the Nazi Schacht. In September, 1935, marriages between Jews and persons of 'German blood' were forbidden and Jews were deprived of virtually all their remaining rights.

"Yet Max Warburg still hung on to the family bank and, that winter, submitted to Schacht the Warburg Plan---a system designed to facilitate the emigration of Jews out of Germany very little seemed to have been done about it. The Warburg Plan was still passing from desk to desk in the Nazi regime in the autumn of 1937 when Max Warburg, still harboring a hopeless hope that his plan would save the Jews in Germany, sailed for New York from Hamburg to find supporters in America. He was still in New York in 1938 when word reached him of the burning of the

shops and the synagogues, and it was only then that he saw the futility of returning to Germany. Shortly afterward, the 140-year-old bank was taken forcibly away from the Warburgs. Max, an old and broken man, asked his son Erie, already a citizen, to help him get his American citizenship.” (Birmingham, Our Crowd, pp. 428-29.)

In short, far from being “involved in the financing of Adolf Hitler” as Gary Allen charges on no evidence whatsoever, Allen’s own reference source and authority shows that this Jewish banker cultivated the personal friendship of Reichsbank President Schacht and gave him “advice” when sought for, only because Warburg hoped---not to prepare World War II, or the slaughter *of* six million Jews, as Allen’s hideous canard would have us believe!---he could thereby save his own bank from Nazi confiscation and his fellow-Jews from Nazi extermination!

Aided and abetted by all the brains and design Robert Welch, The John Birch Society and its Research Department can muster for a work of such importance to their cause as this one, it is plain to see that the author of None Dare Call It Conspiracy habitually stoops to misrepresent, mutilate and actually reverse the true facts whenever he thinks the reader can be hoodwinked by a footnote citing a recognizably authoritative reference. What in effect he is doing of course, with Robert Welch’s lies and putting the names of well-known authorities after them, decorating his own spurious fabrications with the stamp of authority. By such foul and reprehensible art, he has charged the most prominent, leading bankers in Jewry with the most horrible crimes imaginable, viz., turning blood into gold by promoting wholesale slaughter in the American War between the States; in the deliberate raising up of the Nazi scourge and its genocidal annihilation of whole Jewish populations---all simply as part of a callously-designed, coldly-executed, totally imaginary Weishaupt inspired plot to “control the world”!

Gerald L. K.Smith must be right: “I am not sure but what the book itself is the real

conspiracy”---a conspiracy to drive the American people into a frenzy of hate-hysteria that then will “throw the Insiders out” and put in power the puppets of the Steinbacher-Carto-Welch combine! It is certain these deceits will not fool the careful, skeptical reader nor escape the scrutiny of honest historians. But how many skeptical readers and honest historians will be left alive to discover, protest and expose if Steinbacher, Carto, Welch and Co. are first set in power by 30 million readers, voters hoodwinked and infected by this false and venomous propaganda?

This peculiar brand of history-making, both in import and technique is similar to that of Educator Publications Editor Steinbacher who, in his book, Bitter Harvest, writes: “In 1933 Max Warburg signed Adolph Hitler’s financial documents in the form of a seemingly routine appointment of J.J.G. Schacht---a Warburg agent---as administrator of Germany’s money.\* Those signatures prove who the real managers were in Germany in those days.

“The real rulers were the International Money Changers.

“Other signatories to that interesting German document were also members of the International money elite, bankers from the Rothschild combine, such as Wasserman.” (Bitter Harvest, pp. 41-42.)

If the reader wants proof of any of this he follows author Steinbacher’s footnote, “See Appendix, p. 197”---but only to find there nothing more than the closing of a diatribe against Cesar Chavez and a quotation from one, Father Lyons, S.J., who complains of the “raw power” possessed by Chavez!

Elsewhere, Steinbacher in the same book leads his reader to think President Lincoln was the victim of a Jew-controlled assassination conspiracy. Quoting a part only of Lincoln’s criticism of “corruption in High Places” and “the Money Power of the Country,” Steinbacher adds: “Lincoln was to pay for those words with his life, for he was speaking of the alien, ghastly

conspiracies of Europe that descended on America in the shape of the House of Rothschild, followed in time by others of the same conspiratorial bent.” (Ib., p. 41) He concludes his chapter with what the unwary reader may take for confirmation of this claim, what the reader will think is a 32-line italicized quotation, ending with this sentence: “The code books found on John Wilkes Booth (Lincoln’s assassin) and Judah Benjamin, Rothschild’s advisor to the Confederate President, Jefferson Davis, were identical.” Immediately below this, as the last line on that page, in Roman type, one reads: “(From: ‘Why Was Lincoln Murdered,’ by Otto Eisenschiml.)”

The attribution is spurious. Eisenschiml’s book (Halcyon House, New York, 1937) contains no mention of Judah Benjamin nor any reference to Rothschild nor to code books found on either John Wilkes Booth or Benjamin. This appears to be a case in which false evidence has been planted by Steinbacher to “frame” an innocent party for an assassination committed by another.

This is the book, Bitter Harvest, that so captured the whole hearted support of American Party Vice Presidential candidate Anderson. THE HATE-PEDDLERS, JOHN STEINBACHER, WILLIS CARTO, ROBERT WELCH.

To document our assertion that the chief backers of John G. Schmitz and the American Party can best be described as hate-peddlers for fun-and-profit, consider the following remarks from each in turn (statements selected from many available either in their own writings or in writings they uphold, promote and propagate), unmasking:

1. Their adoration of totalitarian despots as exemplary national leaders.
2. Their propagation of naked anti-Semitism.
3. Their attacks upon Freemasonry (in which, as in Adolf Hitler’s Mein Kampf, Masonry is pictured as the Trojan Horse of Jew-Conspirators, its greatest “crime”

being religious tolerance).

4. Their anti-Americanism, reviling the Founding Fathers or the Founding of the Republic in 1776.

#### 1. ON TOTALITARIAN DESPOTS.

His first book, Wayfarers of Fate, described as “a novel of the Spanish Civil War,” pictures the horrors of life in a Spain not yet cradled in Generalissimo Franco’s loving palm. The villain, one named “Rosenberg,” is described as a “Red agent” from Moscow, come to seduce the children of Spain and lead them into the jaws of death. In his book, It Comes Up Murder, documentation for the beginning chapter, setting the theme for what follows, was drawn largely from official publications of the Franco Government.

Willis Carto: In 1968 FACTS reported that the elusive Willis Carto was “one of the leaders of a group that took over the publication of the American Mercury Magazine in 1966” and “Members of the Lobby’s Board of Policy” soon formed “the bulk of the roster of American Mercury Contributing Editors... the corporation under which American Mercury is published, the Legion for the Survival of Freedom, Inc., is headed by Bruce Holman, formerly an associate of Willis Carto’s in the publication of RIGHT. Its treasurer is Carto himself.” (FACTS, July 1968, pp. 464-5)

In June 1966 the American Mercury announced that it had “incorporated” Western Destiny which had “become heir to... RIGHT.” The Los Angeles address of the newly reconstructed American Mercury was “the same as that listed for Noontide Press, which had published Western Destiny” and “anti-Semitic, books.” ( Ib., p. 465)

Willis Carto has been described as one who “sits on a well heeled, radical-right organization three blocks from the Capitol dome, produces barrages of mail to Congressmen and

confuses or evades the press daily.” In 1957 he was named in RIGHT as having been “Executive Director of Liberty and Property, Inc.,” publishers of the magazine and he is judged to have been the key figure in the enterprise. As shown by Group Research, Inc., of Washington, D. C., in its Report of September, 18,1969, the “movement... was spelled out” in the last issue of RIGHT.

“These are quotes from that frank issue:

““That the U.S. was on the wrong side in the last war is a fact so revolutionary to our accustomed mode of thought that it is automatically denied by most Americans...””

““Party-wise, RIGHT commends both the NATIONAL STATES RIGHTS PARTY... and Lincoln Rockwell’s oddly-named ‘American Nazi Party’ ...RIGHT supports them because of their unbending patriotic aims.”” (Group Research Report, Sept. 18, 1969, p. 68)

Liberty Lobby’s founder, inspirer and current guide is also author of the Introduction to the book, Imperium: The Philosophy of History and Politics, by “Ulick Varange” (Francis Parker Yockey). Carto, who seems to have been responsible for both the book’s re-appearance in the American edition and its promotion through the pages of Liberty Lobby publications, in his Introduction, writes: “What is the significance of Imperium? Simply this. That now, for the first time, those soldiers who enlist in the service of the West, have a profound theory to inspire and guide them. Imperium, after conquering all attempts to suppress it and destroy it---as have all constructive advances in the past of man--is seen as the only foundation which can be used to overthrow the inner enemies, re-conquer the Soul of the West and pave the way to the future. If (Imperium, p. xlii)

Imperium, Carto’s guiding light, describes the Nazi ascension to power in January 1933 when it declares, “The European Revolution of 1933 let loose the most tremendous spiritual force that History knows---Destiny, the advancing Spirit of the Age.” (Ib., p. 573). Furthermore,

the book prophecies, “When the American National Revolution takes political form, its inspiration will come from the same ultimate source as the European Revolution of 1933.” (Ib., p. 558)

Robert Welch: “Let the movement back to Americanism start rolling, and you going to see the darndest stampede over to the right since General Franco drove the Communist butchers out of Spain more than thirty years ago.” (JBS Bulletin, April 1972, p. 24)

This indoctrination shows results: American Opinion Associate Editor, Medford Evans suggests that General Francisco Franco has the “distinction of having achieved the greatest political or military victory, so far against the Communists.”(American Opinion, Oct. 1967, in cover story.) Forgotten are the Stuka dive-bombers and the Italian tanks, the Fascisti generals and the Nazi Stormtroops that made possible this “greatest” triumph!

## 2. ON THE JEWS.

John Steinbacher's book, Robert Francis Kennedy. The Man. The Mysticism, The Murder was issued in 1967 by Impact Publishers Inc. (Both Steinbacher and his publisher, Drake, later became Contributing Editors to the Carto-backed American Mercury.) In this 1967 book Steinbacher takes as one authority the self-acknowledged Fascist and English anti-Semite, Nesta Webster. He quotes (p. 61) from her book, World Revolution and, on page 57, his publisher advertises (at 5.00 per copy) Webster's most notorious book, Secret Societies and Subversive Movements (published in England by The Britons, holders of copyright to the Marsden edition, first in the English language, of “The Protocols of the Elders of Zion”; and, in the U.S., by Omni Books of Hawthorne, California).

So that there will be no doubt about the nature of her writings, we quote an appreciation by the Rev. E. Cahill, S.J., an authority for all on the Radical Right, in his work, Freemasonry

and the Anti-Christian Movement. Fr. Cahill says, in reference to this and World Revolution by the same author: “In the first two works the author traces the genesis of Freemasonry from the occult doctrines and practices of pre-Christian paganism and of heretics in medieval times. These were gathered up in the Illuminism of the eighteenth century, and were incorporated into Freemasonry, principally through the medium of the Jewish Kabbalists, who now dominate the Masonic organization, and aim by means of it, and the other subversive movements which Jews and Masons provoke and foster, to establish a world-wide Jewish anti-Christian hegemony.” (Freemasonry and the Anti-Christian Movement, by Rev. E. Cahill, S.J., p. xxiii.)

Another authority for Steinbacher (see pp. 16, 17, 19: of his 1972 book, The Conspirators: Men Against God; The Orange Tree Press, Whittier, Calif.) is Eustace Mullins. Mullins is the author of a number of the most notorious of all anti-Semitic books. As an example of his style, in his book Mullins' New History of the Jews (p. 75)---like Gary Allen and John Steinbacher, Mullins is hard at work writing new “history”---, he pictures the Essene “Manual of Discipline” found in the Dead Sea Scrolls as directing the practice of drinking “the blood of innocent gentile children.”

Willis Carta: Continuing our quotations from the Carto-backed magazine, RIGHT:

““The Bolshevik conspiracy was hatched and led by Bronx Jews and financed to a great extent by Wall Street Jews. Jews created Communism, not vice versa...”

““...the spoor of the Jew is found wherever we find ruins of the past...”

““Jews played their habitual game of treason in the Congo and Cuba...” (Group Research Report, Sept. 18, 1969, p. 68)

In the book, My Exploited Father-In-Law, by Col. Curtis B. Dall, we find on pages 174, that the title of the one whom “the Illuminati,” after “having brought all the nations into the bondage of

usury... intended to crown... leader... of the universe and usurp the powers of World Government.” It is “King-Despot” ---an unique epithet from the notorious “Bible of the Anti-Semites,” The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. From the edition printed and sold by Col. Dall’s good friend, Gerald L.K. Smith, whose Christian Nationalist Crusade is---no one need doubt---the greatest printer and circulator of these forgeries---, page 23: “that King-Despot of the blood of Zion, whom we are preparing for the world.” Italic in both Dall and Smith’s printing.)

Robert Welch: “...this continuing Conspiracy... has been exposed, in whole or in part... Among those who, through books and pamphlets contributed to the exposure, have been... John Robison, the Abbé Barruel... William Morgan... Nesta Webster...” (JBS Bulletin, Aug. 1969, p. 3)

To his widely-circulated pamphlet, The Truth in Time, outlining the “conspiracy, from its amorphous beginnings in the Eighteenth Century up to its present world-wide reach with tentacles of steel,” Robert Welch and an unnamed “scholarly friend,” with references “tell the reader where he would go for more details...” Of the four authorities first recommended, one is Welch himself, and the others, Robison, Barruel and Nesta Webster’s World Revolution (Welch lists publisher and price).

Among the “Americanist” books entered in the John Birch Society’s permanent, though occasionally-updated, official BOOK-LIST (current edition, p. 38) is : “Webster’s Secret Societies and Subversive Movements... (pb) 5.00.” Books, such as this, are stocked by the JBS American Opinion bookstores, of which there are (it is claimed) more than 450 across the nation.

As an example then of the “patriotic literature” being thus propagated and believed-in (else why support and supply it?) by Welch and Co., consider the following ,statements by Nesta Webster in this latter book:

“The recognition of all these facts does not of course imply the belief that all Jews are

destructive. Undoubtedly there are good and loyal Jews... But these isolated individuals carry little weight compared to the massed forces of subversive Jewry. The same thing was observed in America.” (Ib., p. 396.) She writes of “the body known as ‘International Finance,’ which is mainly, though not exclusively, Jewish” and of “The influence of the Jews in all the five great powers at work in the world---Grand Orient Masonry, Theosophy, Pan Germanism, International Finance, and Social Revolution... not a matter of surmise but of fact.” (Ib., pp. 392-3.)

In this book, Nesta Webster refers to “the vast and permanent conspiracy of a people,” in which she sees a “solidarity” that “constitutes the real Jewish Peril and at the same time provokes the real cause of ‘anti-Semitism.’” (Ib., p. 402) “I am convinced,” writes Robert Welch’s authority, “that only a great national movement can save us from destruction---a movement in which men of all classes... will take part. Fascismo triumphed in Italy, because it was not, as it has been absurdly . represented, a reactionary movement, but because it was essentially democratic and progressive, because by appealing to the noblest instincts in human nature, to patriotism and self-sacrifice, it rallied all elements...” (Ib., p. 405.)

### 3. ON THE MASONS.

John Steinbacher: “Thomas Jefferson had become a student of the writings of Weishaupt. Following the teachings of his master, Jefferson managed to infiltrate the Illuminati into the lodges of the Scottish Rite of New England... In 1840, General Pike [Patriarch of American freemasonry, whose Morals and Dogma is the best-known book on the subject] came under control of the Illuminati and set himself the task of working out a military blueprint for three world wars and three major revolutions that he felt would further the aims of the Conspiracy during its final stages during the 20<sup>th</sup> Century...” (Transcribed from the narration on phonorecord, It Comes Up Murder, American United label, 1967.)

Willis Carto: “In the case of Rome and Greece death came about through imperialism and the resulting, inevitable backwash of conquered peoples and races into the heartland as slaves, bringing exotic religions, different philosophies; in a word, cultural sophistication first, then cultural anarchy.” (Intro., Imperium, p. xxxviii.)

“The saints of this cult are the ‘Founding Fathers’ of the War of Independence, particularly Jefferson... Immediately below ‘democracy’ in importance is ‘tolerance.’ This is obviously fundamental to a Culturally-alien regime. Tolerance means primarily tolerance of Jews ‘Religious tolerance’ is also a part of the propaganda, and it is interpreted as to mean religious indifference... What this means is subservience of religion to the program of the Culture distorter.” (Ib., pp. 527-9.)

“... the Jew... fostered their growth in every way. His position as the outsider forced him to act secretly, and the secret societies of the Illuminati and its offspring were his creatures, as their Kabbalistic terminology and ritualistic equipment show. More than two thirds of the Estates-General which paved the way to the French Revolution in 1789 consisted of members of these secret societies, committed to undermine the Authority of the State and introduce the idea of Democracy.” (Ib., p. 388.)

“Since the New State [Carto and Liberty Lobby strive for] negates Rationalism, the enemy of the Soul of Culture-man, it has an affirmative attitude toward the spiritual development, the Rebirth of Religion, which accompanies the rising of the New State.” (Ib., p. 366.)

Robert Welch: “Our greatest problem is getting people to understand this picture derives from the fact that what we are dealing with is nothing more or less than a gigantic conspiracy; and that the contributing order we have dubbed The INSIDERS... Anybody who even starts to point out

the truth is mercilessly ridiculed as a believer in the ‘conspiratorial theory of history’; and anybody who approaches too close to an authoritative exposure of the higher levels of the conspiracy meets the fate of a William Morgan...” (The Truth in Time, p. 22.) (William Morgan was a lowly 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree Mason in 19<sup>th</sup> Century New York who was said to have died and at the hands of brother-Masons for “exposing” the brotherhood’s “secrets” in a book Morgan wrote. But neither Welch nor any of his fellow-alarmists have found so much as a single word in the Morgan “exposé” Illustrations of Masonry, worth quoting for their purpose! Nor do they pretend to show how a 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree Mason would be privy to any “secrets” whatever on “the higher levels of the conspiracy,” even supposing there were one! See Masonic Light on the Abduction and Murder of William Morgan, by P.C. Huntington, 1886.)

“So the first and greatest enemy of the Eighteenth Century Illuminati was Catholicism. This is why... during the middle of the Nineteenth Century, the highly important American Insider, Albert Pike, and his Italian subordinate, Mazzini, spent decades in their unceasing attack on papal power.” (A Cross Section of the Truth, p. 6.)

From Seventeen Eighty Nine (An Unfinished Manuscript which explores the early history of the Communist Conspiracy, by “one of America’s outstanding scholars...” Published in 1968 by “The Editorial Staff of American Opinion” who “commissioned” its anonymous author.)

“From the beginning, Freemasonry was inherently subversive without realizing it”. (Ib., p. 34)

“The ritual of Freemasonry was very complicated and eclectic, borrowing myths from sources ancient and modern; but the doctrine can be reduced to one devastating principle, tolerance... The effects of this doctrine were both subtle and pernicious.” (Ib., p. 35.)

“The moral ambivalence was evident in Masonry right from the beginning, counting the beginning from the establishment of the Grand Lodge of London in 1717.” (Ib., p. 36.)

“The Mason’s emotions and sympathies are engaged powerfully on the side of treason, while overt support for the crime is withheld only for reasons of the purest expediency.” (Ib., p. 39.)

“...elements of the ritual...were obnoxious to those raised in the European Christian culture.

“The first of these was gnosticism, derived mainly from the magical practices of the Jewish Cabala....

“The second disconcerting influence was Templarism. In the Middle Ages the Knights Templar were... infected with a secret heresy, a remnant of the Manichean sensuality of the Fourth Century. The Order was dispersed in the Fourteenth Century, and its Grand Master, Jacques du Mollay, was executed.”

“...Whether the Templars were abused or not, the Masonic desire to be associated with a movement crushed as heretical was a conscious rejection of the civilized consensus. Such a choice justly aroused the suspicions of their neighbors...”

"The third element that marked the Masons as rebels was the passion for ‘mysteries,’ that is to say, Illuminism... The mumbo-jumbo of its ritual... helped to mask the rise of a completely different kind of organization that referred to its members as ‘illuminati.’” (Ib., pp. 4Q, 41.)

“The English Masons were... the enemies of tradition and prescriptive order, but their subversion, slow and constant, was generally from within.” (Ib., p. 42.)

"The American Revolution was saved through a misunderstanding. This misunderstanding was total; it was willful; and it meant the downfall of France. The Masonic organization perpetrated the misunderstanding, reveled in it, exulted in it.” (Ib., p. 45.) The “Masonic organization” is charged and condemned for bringing the American Republic to birth!

“At the moment the American experience---an experience wholly different--- crackled through the volatile atmosphere. The weirdly beautiful light was that of the gas burning from the putrefaction of the marsh. The men of the Nine Sisters [Freemasons of France] thought it was the beginning of the New Order [“Novus Ordo Seclorum”, The New Order of the Ages, set on the reverse of the Great Seal of the United States of America], but it was only the cataclysmic catastrophe of the old.” (Ib., p. 58.)

One would be hard put to find anywhere in “patriotic” literature (if “made in America”) more vile, degenerate derogation of the founding of the American Republic than this Welch-  
“commissioned”-and-promoted subversive filth which likens “the American experience” to gas  
burning from the putrefaction of the marsh.

#### STEINBACHER, CARTO AND WELCH ON ASSASSINATION.

John Steinbacher: We call special attention to the phono-record album, It Comes Up Murder, “by John Steinbacher, M.A., Adapted from the bestselling book;” available from “American United,” P.O. Box 1122, Malibu, California, or “Fact Records,” Box 781, Sunset Beach, California;

Copyrighted 1967 by “American United” (whose President, Anthony J. Hilder, is a close associate and some-time traveling-companion of Liberty Lobby Chairman Dall); and produced by the Anthony Music Corporation.

On its back cover, beneath the heading, “ROTHSCHILD-ROCKEFELLER Rape and Revolution?”, there appears an endorsement by “Don Tait, Editor-Publisher, The Yakima Eagle” (owned by JBS Council Member Floyd Paxton), together with a letter, signed by the late Governor of Alabama, Lurleen B. Wallace, commending the book of the same name.

The back cover of the record album pictures the United Nations building, the Council on Foreign Relations headquarters, and a view of the Center for Democratic Studies at Santa

Barbara as seen through cross-hair gunsights.

The record itself makes “the Rockefeller interests through the Council on Foreign Relations appear to control every level and branch of our Federal Government... The Illuminist bankers, from the day Rockefeller struck oil, have financed him...”

On the album cover we see before us a caricature depicting New York Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller, then a candidate for the Republican nomination for the U. S. Presidency, his hair awry, his eyes glazed, mouth open in a death-agony scream as one assassin’s bullet clips his ear and another impacts in his temple, sending red blood coursing down his cheek and neck. If it is true that a picture is worth a thousand words, then John Steinbacher. Anthony J. Hilder and American United have told us a lot about themselves in this graphic incitement to assassination.

Within the past year, James Townsend’s Educator Records has marketed a new phonorecord written by John Steinbacher. Side One is titled, “They Died for Nothing”; Side Two, “Force X,” is narrated by the author himself. The first playing begins:

“The ghosts of 50,000 Americans dead walk the streets of America tonight, their spectral presence crying for redress of their grief. An American President, Richard Milhouse Nixon, has surrendered to the enemy who killed them in the flower of their youth...” In sepulchral tones, the narration moves on to say “these dead Americans... died to insure the success of Communism around the world. That is the message of Richard Nixon’s love affair with the enemy who provided the guns and bullets to shatter the flesh and bones of America’s youth in Viet Nam and Korea... And now, after more than 50,000 of these gallant heroes have died, their President, in the gravest betrayal in all American history, if not in fact the gravest betrayal in the history of the world, is sitting down to dine with the very enemy who laid them in their premature graves. Where are the outraged fathers and mothers of America? Where are the millions of veterans who

fought wars of their own... Are there no brave men left in this land in this hour of shame and eternal disgrace... What about it, America, are you prepared to face the consequences of your own ultimate immorality, the slaughter of your own children by your respected national leaders in purposefully no-win wars in order to advance the cause of slavery around the world?..."

On the flip-side, Steinbacher tells us in "Force X," that "The center for world revolution is not in Moscow or Peking or Havana..." but "in the towers of Manhattan and the banking establishments of Wall Street... the tentacles of that all-embracing octopus reaching into the White House through Rockefeller's man, Richard Milhous, Nixon... And if these men have their way all those who think will be forced back into the darkness of man's primordial beginning from whence comes only the sounds of weeping and cursing and the groans of pain heard in the midnight of despair... America has been betrayed. But this betrayal need not be the final chapter in the history of this land. Just as the crowned heads of Europe lay uneasy on their pillows for fear of the assassin's knife, so the heads of the international master-minds must be uneasy in the stillness of night in their guarded rooms, knowing they are mortal... Patriots should remember the famous litany recited by the

Civil War general, 'They've got us surrounded on the north and the east and the south and the west, those poor bastards!' And if the situation now appears hopeless, there is only one way to go---on the attack!"

If one wanted to marshal an army of assassins, it would be difficult to find a better recruiting-spiel than this!

Willis Carto: Of its author, Francis Yockey, Willis Carto writes in his Introduction to Imperium, "...a martyr---the first of a long line of them---if we are to take back our country from those who have stolen it from us." (Imperium, p. xviii.)

As set forth in this book, the Yockey plan so dear to the heart of the man who founded and guides Liberty Lobby, calls for one and “only one solution” to the world’s ills, “a military solution and there is no other” one in which “every form of Rationalism, Equality... democracy... parliamentarianism... ideals of ‘happiness’ and the like” will disappear in “liquidation” to be replaced by other forms culminating in “Hierarchy” and a “New State.” (Ib., pp. 616-17.) In reaching this goal, the Yockey-conceived wars “will be true unlimited wars... absolute in their means and in their duration.” (Ib., p. 242.) “The coming wars will revive the older practices of enslaving and killing war prisoners and remove the protections hitherto extended to the civilian populations.” (Ib., p. 243.)

The “inspiration” for the New State, Yockey foresees, “will come from the same ultimate source” as that which impelled Hitler’s Germany after the Nazis took power in January, 1933. To Yockey and his present day followers, this was “The European Revolution of 1933” which “let loose the most tremendous spiritual force that History knows.” (Ib., pp. 558, 573.) And this will be a New State whose lust for human gore is anticipated to exceed that of the genocidal Nazi butchers, for, as Yockey saw it in his sanguinary visions, the “soil of Europe” is to “stream with blood” for “a hundred years” (Ib., p. 619, etc.) But before attaining this paranoid paradise, “Its precondition is the setting free of the Western Soul from the domination of traitors and parasites.” (Ib., p. 617.)---which suggests that not a little blood letting is being planned for the interim by today’s so-called Yockey Movement.

Robert Welch: Pending Legislation. Some of what has been introduced almost makes you agree with the ancient Greeks of Locri that it is the legislators who ought to be pending (from a lamp post) instead of the legislation.” (JBS Bulletin, July 1971, p. 24.)

In the JBS Bulletin for December, 1970, Founder Welch declares he has “taken on the assignment to preserve the moral principles arrived at through many centuries of Judaic and Christian experience and thought.” Towards this end, as his “first step,” Moses Welch undertakes “to provide a compendium of Christian morality, with the Judaic injunctions that have been incorporated into it, in such concise form that he who runs may read.” He also is “to try to show” the application of “this morality” to “specific developments and situations...” (JBS Bulletin, Dec., 1970, p. 9)

No. 3, in the New Commandments According to Welch, is an old one with some sinister new twists:

“I will neither kill nor injure another human being, except in such circumstances that it is morally justifiable to do so.

“By almost universal custom, those circumstances include self defense on behalf of myself, my family or any lawful group with legally clean hands of which I am properly a member.” (Ib., p. 10.)

This apparently is meant to lay the groundwork for the justifications of killings “in defence” of The John Birch Society. Having come down off the mountain, Welch himself leaves his members with no doubt as to whom they should look “to set forth” for them the proper decision with regard to either justification, in every conceivable set of circumstances”---: “I shall make no effort, especially in this limited space,” he tells the faithful, on the same page. For who can be fitter to interpret the New Commandment in all circumstances, than he who gave it?

On the questions of killin in “defense” of one’s own “group,” Robert Welch’s ideas re remarkably parallel with those expressed in deed by one of Europe’s most notorious gangs of political assassins. In a book titled, Teddy Bare: The Last of the Kennedy Clan, and described by

Welch as one of “a battery of heavier guns” against “the enemy,” we find its author Zad Rust, aghast that the “founder” of “the American Civil Liberties Union” gave his full approval to the right of all, citizens and aliens alike, to advocate political assassination...” (Teddy Bare, Western Islands, p. 147.)

As since revealed in JBS literature, “Zad Rust” is a pen-name for Prince Michal Sturdza, author of The Suicide of Europe, greeted by Rep. John G. Schmitz as a “powerful book” (Review of the News, Feb. 23, 1972., p. 48.) It is described in advertising as “brilliant memoirs” and “a personal, first-hand witness to international intrigue, to a people’s courageous response to... treason by their highest leaders... a vital contribution without which no accurate history of the Twentieth Century can be written.”

How indeed does author Sturdza portray this “courageous response to... treason by their highest leaders...”? Despite “Zad Rust’s” mock shock at any idea that the advocacy of political assassination should be considered a civil right, the “response” Sturdza admits and defends was that of the Iron Guard of Rumania, one of the most notorious, bloodiest terrorist-assassination gangs in all of Nazi Europe---and Prince Sturdza was the Foreign Minister in its Cabinet after it finally gained power (and at once allied with Hitler and with Mussolini)!

To show a bit of what that means, we quote from Assassination and Political Violence (A Report to the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence), p. 572: “Extreme nationalism and anti-semitism produced in Rumania a native form of fascism, the Iron Guard. The Iron Guard... utilized... tensions for direct action in the form of mass and individual violence... Nationalistic and rabidly anti-semitic, the Iron Guardists... ruthless... practiced a variety of violent tactics, inciting the population to pogroms and outrages against Jews, democrats and moderates who opposed them. Fascist students and Iron Guardists, in open

disregard of the law and of the elementary rights of others, shot down public officials, and even fellow students. Codreanu [founder of the Iron Guard movement] shot down the prefect of police Manciu in court (1924) [in the birthplace of the movement], participated in the assassination of Prime Minister Ion Duca (1933)... Again, in 1937 terrorists attempted to assassinate a courageous rector of the University of Yassi because of his opposition to antisemitic terrorism.

“In 1936, a student, Mikhail Stilescu, who had resigned from the organization, was killed in a hospital in Bucharest by 10 Iron Guardists [a typical “courageous” response]... When General Antonescu took over the government of Rumania in 1940, the Iron Guardists murdered more than 60 prisoners and kidnapped and murdered the leading Rumanian historian, Professor Nicola Iorga, who was a former Rumanian Prime Minister and himself a nationalist. A leading peasant party economist, Virgil Madgearu, met the same fate. Outrages against Jews followed afterwards.

“This is only a random sample of the numerous killings and outrages perpetrated by the Iron Guard.”

In his defense of the Iron Guard, whose agents assassinated two acting Prime Ministers of their country, “Zad Rust,” the hatchet-man Founder Welch set upon Senator Edward Kennedy, pleads that it all was necessary to try and save the nation from “the Anonymous and Omnipotent Powers who decided that the hammer and sickle should replace the Cross where it has reigned for about two thousand years”. (Ib., p. lxv.) Who were these “Powers” so “malevolent” that they could only be combatted by the assassin’s gun? Sturdza does not openly identify them; but it is no great task to read his intent, especially since one of his fellow-Iron Guardists, one at the right hand of its Leader, was Ion Mota, first to translate into Rumanian the “Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion.” (Norman Cohn, Warrant for Genocide, p. 294.)

But was the Iron Guard really anti-Semitic? Now, would Robert Welch use his Western Islands publishing house and his American Opinion bookstores and all his magazines to promote a expensive book and an author which are not against anti-Semitism? Let the reader judge for himself from Sturdza's "disproof" of the charge.

The author's rebuttal to this kind of "smear propaganda" is quite clever: "It was a former Jew, Father Botez, who officiated at Codreanu's wedding [when, it is alleged, 100,000 attended]. There were always one or two Jews in this movement." (The Suicide of Europe, pp. 54-5.) Now how's that for proof there was no race bias to mar their record? That kind of proof should satisfy even Robert Welch---and obviously, it did!

In his defense of Iron Guard tactics, Sturdza writes: "No Rumanian soldier is killed or wounded" in the Antonescu-Iron Guard coup (Ib., p. lvii); Not even when the Iron Guardists, in turn, found their own backs to the wall later, facing a conquering enemy that swept them out of power. Then, says Sturdza, "The Legionaires always fired over their heads." (Ib., p. 215.) These saints of the "Legion of the Archangel Michael"!

Naturally, they were defeated with tactics like these. Apparently the Iron Guard could have learned a thing or two from the Third Commandment According to Welch!

(As a footnote, the Iron Guard movement in exile is neither defunct nor inactive. One can find indications of its activity in America even today. For 35¢ we purchased one of the copies of "A Declaration by the Rumanian Legionary Movement" on sale at the Americanist bookstore at Knotts Berry Farm, Orange County, California. Printed in South America, its author is Horia Sima who, with Sturdza, was a member in the Iron Guard cabinet of Prime Minister Antonescu. After its downfall, Sima fled to take refuge in Nazi Berlin.)

THE ASSASSINS AND POLITICAL CONTACTS

Lee Harvey Oswald. To anyone who entertains an idea there may have been a conspiracy behind the death of President John F. Kennedy, the assassination of Oswald must be viewed as even more suggestive of some far-reaching plot. In this connection, the Warren Commission (in Committee Exhibit No. 2980) published a photocopy of a report from Commission Staff Members to Richard Helms, Deputy Director for Plans, Central Intelligence Agency. This showed that: (a) Jack Ruby, the assassin had a brother, last known to be operating a laundry company in Chicago, who was known to have communicated by telephone with the Welch Candy Company (“nature of telephone calls... unknown”); and (b) “in Ruby’s notebook” was found the name “Thomas Hill... Official of the John Birch Society. Residence in Massachussets.” (Thomas Hill, a Bostonian schooled in Texas, then a paid organizer high in JBS circles at Belmont headquarters, is now “National Director of Field Activities” for the whole Society. See Heartbeat of the American Cause).

James Earl Ray. In February 1969, James Earl Ray, since sentenced to 99 years for the assassination of the Rev. Martin Luther King, wrote his attorney Percy Foreman that, while in Los Angeles, prior to leaving for the South where he bought a rifle and appeared on the scene at the assassination, he installed a telephone in his apartment and, after seeing “an article about the South African Regional Council,” (of Liberty Lobby-See Blair p. 193) then “called several org. asking info. about the council, one of them was the John Birch Society. They said they had the address and would send it to me which they did along with a pamphlet.” (Huie, He Slew the Dreamer, pp. 85-6.) An exchange of communication between Ray and its West Coast Headquarters, then supervised by Rex Westerfield (now elevated to National Director of Public Relations for the John Birch Society) in San Marino, California, is admitted by Welch and Co. (see The Review of the News, “The Ray Case” by Contributing Editor, Susan Huck, April 30,

1970, p. 22.)

Sirhan B. Sirhan. In The Review of the News for June 19, 1968, in an article, Assassination, Rex Westerfield, then still JBS head at San Marino, claimed that, “Recently, the Marxist Students for a Democratic Society (S.D.S.) held a meeting near Griffith Park, off Los Feliz Boulevard, in Los Angeles. The purpose of this meeting was to raise money for the Communist Black Panther Party... At the meeting, a young man named Paul Shinoff made a pro-Castro speech. Also attending was a former high-ranking Cuban officer who reported that, as he was vigorously refuting the pro-Communist remarks made by Shinoff, another young man, small in stature, jumped up and began screaming at him, calling him a liar. The anti-Communist Cuban identified that young defender of Castro as Sirhan Bishara Sirhan.” (p. 22).

Two days before Westerfield’s article appeared, Walter Winchell in his newspaper column for June 17<sup>th</sup>, 1968, under head, “4 CASTROITES IN RFK PLOT,” wrote of the Duarte-Sirhan “confrontation.” And then he adds this significant note: “Also represented at the meeting were some who said they were members of the Peace and Freedom party in Los Angeles. Some of them told me that the party is part of or allied with ‘Americans United,’ which they said means, ‘We are Free Rightists.’” Closing this column, Winchell added, “When I asked my source (for all of the above),

‘Have you told it to the FBI?’, he replied, ‘Yes they know all about this.’”

Examination of the text on the back-cover of the album to the Steinbacher record, It Comes Up Murder will show: (a) It is published by American United; and (b) it contains the phrase “AMERICAN UNITED also recommends FREE RIGHT...” “Free Rightists” is indeed the name by which the followers of Steinbacher-Hilder-American United are known.

In a later column, headed “LEADING ANARCHY EXPERT,” Winchell revealed that his

“source” was “John Steinbacher of Los Angeles... a responsible researcher”

And additionally, readers of the Anaheim Bulletin were told in a front-page feature story by Editor Sam Campbell, promoting the Duarte testimony calculated to prove Sirhan (the assumed assassin) was a Radical Leftist and a Castro supporter, that Duarte, head of an anti-Castro Rightist group called Anare, had been known to personnel at the Anaheim Bulletin since “December 1967, when Duarte appeared in Anaheim voluntarily to answer questions about the attendance of members of his organization at a performance of the Moscow State Circus in Anaheim Stadium, when a stink bomb was thrown.” (See Anaheim Bulletin, June 11, 1968.)

Finally, the advertisement originally appearing in the Los Angeles Free Press, announcing the then-forthcoming meeting near Griffith Park, gave no indication the S.O.S. was in any way involved; it was billed as a meeting of the “Los Feliz Peace and Freedom Club,” a group not otherwise identified.

Arthur Bremer. In a “Washington, September 14” dateline, the JBS Review of the News (September 27, 1972, pp. 7-8) reported: “American Party presidential candidate Representative John G. Schmitz (Calif.), charging that there has been a ‘conspiracy of silence’ about the Communist connections of Arthur Bremer, the man convicted of the attempted assassination of Governor George C. Wallace, vows to ‘see that the full facts about George Wallace’s would-be assassin are brought to light.’ To this end, Schmitz tells newsmen, ‘I have formally requested that the minority investigative staff of the House Internal Security Committee, on which I serve, launch an immediate preliminary investigation of the allegation of the Communist and revolutionary associations and activities of Arthur Bremer.’ The congressman then introduces Timothy Heinan, 25, of Milwaukee, who declares that while acting as an undercover operative for the Milwaukee Police Department, he saw Bremer at three different meetings of the

Marquette University chapter of the Communist-controlled Students for a Democratic Society during November and December, 1969. Also at the news conference is Alan Stang, whose article in the October issue of American Opinion indicates, in Stang's words, that 'there was a conspiracy to kill George Wallace; that it was a Communist conspiracy; that its purpose was to deny the American people the choice deserve this November.'"

In the October 1972 number of American Opinion magazine, Stang gives the JBS version of "The Communist Plot to Kill George Wallace," under heading "ARTHUR BREMER." Heinan, the "undercover informer" is described as "a professional undercover agent for the Milwaukee Police Department" and as later, one who also "did the same work for the Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs."

Turning to page 7 of this same article, we are told: "You are looking at the notes of a professional undercover agent of the Milwaukee Police Department. Among his assignments was infiltration of the openly Communist group known as Students for a Democratic Society (S.D.S.). He attended S.D.S. meetings as a member, and at a number of them he saw a young man he did not know at the time, but whom he now positively identifies as Arthur Herman Bremer. Above, right, you see a page from the undercover agent's notes, made in November of 1969, on a Communist S.D.S. meeting attended by Arthur Bremer (Number 15)..."

Opposite to this illustrated page of Heinan's original notes (and also on page 7 of the magazine) is another notebook-page illustration bearing the weekday abbreviations "Th," "F," "S," "Su," "M," and "T," reproduced directly above the printed caption-text. The seventh and sixth lines from the bottom on this page appear underlined for importance and they read: "please inform Tact that operator will be present..." This brings to light some interesting points:

1. It indicates that the reporting undercover operator-informant, Tim Heinan, was not

only working for the city police (and for Federal policing agency, if any then), he also was working for and sending important reports via his unknown superior to the well-known John Birch Society front "Tact." TACT ("Truth in Civil Turmoil") has "several thousand" cells or "local ad hoc committees," boasts Founder Welch; and these have served as vehicles to promote the "revelations" of a number of ex-"undercover informers" after these police-paid spies blew their cover by surfacing from within the "Communist conspiracy network..."

2. It also indicates that this earliest known political contact by Arthur Bremer was also a contact between the future attacker of Gov. Wallace and one or more secret agents in the employ of The John Birch Society. (And only God and Robert Welch may know how many of these "patriotic operatives" are working for him about this country, in and out of various police agencies and on varying levels of innocent-appearing "ad hoc committees"!)
3. It indicates that leaders of The John Birch Society, through a TACT underground, having known of Arthur Bremer (through the reports of Tim Heinan) for more than two years prior to his shooting George Wallace, had ample opportunity to recruit and prepare Bremer for any purpose---good or ill---their political wisdom might dictate.
4. Therefore, in calling for an investigation of "the allegation of the Communist and revolutionary associations and activities of Arthur Bremer," American Party candidate John G. Schmitz ought also to be equally concerned about contacts (contacts seen in its own evidence) dating back to November 1969 between Arthur Bremer and at least one undercover triple-agent with connections to some of John G. Schmitz's strange friends. He especially ought to do this lest the rumor get abroad

that his own alma mater, Marquette University, has long-harbored a phony "S.D.S. chapter" (something on the order of the "Los Feliz Peace and Freedom Club"), staffed and managed by secret spies and provocateurs of the Radical Right, deceivers pledged to the Liberty Lobby cause of "conducting intelligence within leftist groups..." (The How, p. 29.)

It all sounds so much like a play-back of the Westerfield-Steinbacher game, starring Major Jose Duarte and Sirhan B. Sirhan.

At the same time, we suggest, this investigation would best serve the public interest if it opened its hearings to the public and enlarged the scope of its inquiry to include examination of the past activities of Rex Westerfield, John Steinbacher, Major Jose Duarte and American United and its various and unknown "Free Rightists" as these and their associates (known and unknown) became involved in circumstances surrounding the death of Senator Robert F. Kennedy. Nor are all the suspicious tracks left behind in the Martin Luther King and John F. Kennedy murders yet altogether cold. With each future assassination (and there will be many, if we read Francis Parker Yockey aright), we look forward to being furnished new and warmer leads pointing to the perpetrators of past atrocities.

#### WHAT ABOUT GOVERNOR WALLACE AND THE RADICAL RIGHT?

With the involuntary departure of Governor George C. Wallace from the American Party landscape, "the landscape had been permanently and deeply changed in Louisville..." we are told in Liberty Lobby's Choice: 1972. "From then on, things would be basically different." Or, in other words, "An old Chinese proverb advises, 'The journey of 10,000 miles begins with the first step.'

"God willing, the first step was taken at the American Party convention in Louisville, Kentucky, August 3, 1972." (Op. cit., p. 2). "At last voters can back a candidate who places the

interests of America first” (p. 3).

What’s With Wallace? asks Managing Editor Steinbacher in the October 1972 National Educator. “What’s going on? How come George Corley Wallace---who many of us thought had tremendous courage and principle---isn’t supporting John G. Schmitz, the candidate of Wallace’s own third party?”

“Over and above all this, how about George Corley Wallace, the man who led the third party movement in 1968? Is he now to conveniently choose the ‘lesser of two evils’? Is he to shun John G. Schmitz because Schmitz might be his adversary in 1976? Is he to sit quietly by while some of his top aides seduce people into voting for Nixon as *a* payoff because the Justice Department dropped its investigation of Wallace’s brother and others in Alabamba on some pretty serious charges?”

“So now we need to ask the BIG question. How about it, George Corley Wallace? Where do you stand---are you still standing up for America? Or was that all campaign rhetoric?”

“But let this be clearly understood, also. The legions who voted for you in 1968---because they thought you were a man of man of principle---are not going to be fooled again.” (p. 4)

Same issue (p. 14): “Editorial: One totally false rumor is that Schmitz said something nasty about George Wallace during his speech at the Sacramento state convention of the American Independent Party.”

Liberty Lobby’s Washington Observer (Sept. 15, 1972): “...both Soviet Russia and Israel are anxious to have Nixon re-elected and... any candidate who would seriously jeopardize Nixon’s re-election is in mortal danger.

“The Soviet KGB and the CIA both conduct schools for assassins and frequently

complement each other...” (p. 1).

In an editorial, “An American Choice,” Liberty Letter, September 1972, provides some revealing insight into what the American Party means to at least its ruling triumvirate: “We can’t for the life of us understand why such great patriots as Lester Maddox and George Wallace can stay in the Democrat Party---which is officially opposed to everything they say they’re for!”

“Patriots in the Democrat Party may as well realize that they are on a dead-end street. They aren’t going anywhere as Democrats. By remaining in the Democrat Party they are merely serving the interests of the traitors, bums and perverts who have taken over the party from top to bottom.

“And they are needlessly putting themselves into direct opposition to the America-Firsters in the American Party with whom they should be working!”

“What a shame it would be if Maddox, Wallace and the other decent Democrats woke up one day to find their troops had deserted over to the other side and, as America-Firsters, were now compelled to fight them as Democrats!

“This is a decision that they had better make soon. America-Firsters will not tolerate any longer the old traitorous game of party politics which Democrats and Republicans love so dearly.”

“They may not elect a President this fall... More importantly, they will solidly establish the Party in every state.

“The Platform of the American Party reads like LIBERTY LOBBY’s Official Policy.”

“There is a political upheaval coming in this Nation, and we believe that the American Party will play an important part in it.”